FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

AUG 01 2006

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CANDIDO GABRIEL IBARRA TORRES; JULIETTA IBARRA COBIAN; OSCAR IBARRA COBIAN,

Petitioners,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 05-73139

Agency Nos. A76-373-923 A76-373-924 A76-373-925

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 24, 2006**

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Candido Gabriel Ibarra-Torres, and his minor children, Julietta Ibarra
Cobian, and Oscar Ibarra Cobian, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition for

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying their motion to reopen removal proceedings. We dismiss the petition for review.

The evidence petitioners presented with their motion to reopen concerned the same basic hardship grounds as their application for cancellation of removal. *See Fernandez v. Gonzales*, 439 F.3d 592, 602-03 (9th Cir. 2006). We therefore lack jurisdiction to review the BIA's determination that the evidence would not alter its prior discretionary determination that they failed to establish the requisite hardship. *See id.* at 600 (holding that 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(i) bars this court from reviewing the denial of a motion to reopen where "the only question presented is whether [the] new evidence altered the prior, underlying discretionary determination that [the petitioner] had not met the hardship standard.") (Internal quotations and brackets omitted).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.